# Intermediate Report Nr. 1

Bernhard Geiger

February 22, 2009

# 1 How to estimate |g(t)| out of ED samples $y_i$ ?

### 1.1 Noise-free scenario

Let f(t) be the raised-cosine (RC) filtered channel impulse response (CIR) modulated by a carrier with frequency  $\omega_c = 2\pi f_c$ , and let g(t) be its according complex baseband equivalent<sup>1</sup>. Thus,

$$f(t) = \Re\left\{g(t)e^{j\omega_c t}\right\} = \Re\left\{g(t)\right\}\cos\omega_c t - \Im\left\{g(t)\right\}\sin\omega_c t =: g_a(t)\cos\omega_c t - g_b(t)\sin\omega_c t \tag{1}$$

The output of the ED can now be calculated as

$$y_{i} = \int_{iT_{s} - \frac{T_{i}}{2}}^{iT_{s} + \frac{T_{i}}{2}} f^{2}(t)dt = \int_{iT_{s} - \frac{T_{i}}{2}}^{iT_{s} + \frac{T_{i}}{2}} (g_{a}(t)\cos\omega_{c}t - g_{b}(t)\sin\omega_{c}t)^{2} dt$$
(2)

$$= \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \left( g_a^2(t) \cos^2 \omega_c t - 2g_a(t) g_b(t) \cos \omega_c t \sin \omega_c t + g_b^2(t) \sin^2 \omega_c t \right) dt, \tag{3}$$

where  $T_i$  is the integration interval and  $T_s$  is the sampling interval of the ED. Assuming that  $f_cT_i >> 1$  we can approximate  $y_i$  by

$$y_{i} \approx \int_{iT_{s} - \frac{T_{i}}{2}}^{iT_{s} + \frac{T_{i}}{2}} \left( g_{a}^{2}(t) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{\cos^{2}\omega_{c}t\right\}}_{0.5} - 2g_{a}(t)g_{b}(t) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{\cos\omega_{c}t\sin\omega_{c}t\right\}}_{0} + g_{b}^{2}(t) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{\sin^{2}\omega_{c}t\right\}}_{0.5} \right) dt \quad (4)$$

$$= \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \frac{g_a^2(t) + g_b^2(t)}{2} dt = \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \frac{|g(t)|^2}{2} dt$$
 (5)

The fact that this approximation holds was simulated in testapproxgf.m, where I iterated over all defined channel center frequencies and over integration periods  $T_i = \{1, 2, 4\}$  ns. The results where then averaged over 50 channel realizations. Tab. 1 shows the approximation error  $Q_2$ , which is defined as

$$Q_2 = 10 \log \left( \frac{\sum_i |y_i - \hat{y}_i|}{\sum_i |y_i|} \right), \tag{6}$$

where  $y_i$  is obtained by integrating over f(t), whereas  $\hat{y}_i$  is obtained by integrating over  $\frac{|g(t)|}{2}$ . As expected, the error reduces with increasing integration periods  $T_i$  and increasing center frequency  $f_c$ . Channels 4, 7, 11, and 15 show bigger errors due to the fact that these channels use shorter pulse durations (higher pulse repetition frequencies). Channel 0 is the baseband channel, that is  $f_c$  is equal to 499.2 MHz, which happes to be the PRF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This approach now swaps root raised-cosine (RRC) filtering and modulation, that is instead of RRC-modulate-RRC we have RC-modulate. This is something I still would like to analyze if this is valid, especially if the RRC receiver filter really outputs either the envelope of f(t) (for high  $\omega_c$ ) or directly f(t) (for low  $\omega_c$ ).

Using Urkowitz' approximation [1]

$$\int_{0}^{T_{i}} s^{2}(t)dt \approx \frac{1}{2W} \sum_{i=1}^{2T_{i}W} s^{2}(\frac{i}{2W}), \tag{7}$$

where W denotes the bandwidth of s(t) we can simplify the integral to a sampling. g(t), as it can be shown, is bandlimited with  $W = \frac{1+\beta}{2T}$ , where  $\beta$  is the roll-off factor and T the duration of the RC-filtered pulse. In order to sample only once per integration interval we have to set

$$T_i = \frac{1}{2W} = \frac{1}{2\frac{1+\beta}{2T}} = \frac{T}{1+\beta}.$$
 (8)

Thus, we can say that the ED output  $y_i$  is approximated by

$$y_i \approx \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \frac{|g(t)|^2}{2} dt \approx \frac{1}{2W} \frac{|g(iT_s)|^2}{2} = \frac{T}{1+\beta} \frac{|g(iT_s)|^2}{2}$$
 (9)

or, equivalently,

$$|g(iT_s)|^2 \approx \frac{2(1+\beta)}{T}y_i \tag{10}$$

In order to fully restore |g(t)| the Nyquist theoreme has to be fulfilled, meaning that  $2T_sW < 1$ , therefore  $T_s < T_i$ . Then, and only then, we can say

$$|g(t)| \approx \sum_{i} |g(iT_s)| \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t - iT_s}{T_s}\right)$$
 (11)

#### 1.2 Noise-only scenario

In this case let us assume that the input f(t) to the ED is RRC-filtered Gaussian noise modulated with a carrier frequency of  $\omega_c$ , and let n(t) be its zero-mean, complex Gaussian equivalent baseband signal, which is a lowpass process with a bandwidth of W:

$$f(t) = \Re\left\{n(t)e^{j\omega_c t}\right\} = n_a(t)\cos\omega_c t - n_b(t)\sin\omega_c t \tag{12}$$

In accordance with [1] we can say that for sufficiently large  $T_i$ :

$$\int_{iT_{s} - \frac{T_{i}}{2}}^{iT_{s} + \frac{T_{i}}{2}} (n_{a}(t)\cos\omega_{c}t - n_{b}(t)\sin\omega_{c}t) dt \approx \int_{iT_{s} - \frac{T_{i}}{2}}^{iT_{s} + \frac{T_{i}}{2}} \frac{n_{a}^{2}(t) + n_{b}^{2}(t)}{2} dt$$
 (13)

Since the RRC filter is only the *root* of the RC filter, we can assume that the bandwidths of these filters are identical, at least with respect to required sampling rates. Therefore, according to [1] we can state:

$$\int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \frac{n_a^2(t) + n_b^2(t)}{2} dt \approx \frac{1}{2W} \sum_{i=1}^{2T_i W} \frac{n_a^2(\frac{i}{2W}) + n_b^2(\frac{i}{2W})}{2}$$
(14)

Let us now assume that each component  $n_a(t)$ ,  $n_b(t)$  has a double-sided power spectral density (PSD) of  $\frac{N_0}{2}$ . Thus, the variance of the bandlimited noise signal is equal to  $\sigma^2 = \frac{N_0}{2} 2W = N_0 W$ . Taking this

into account we obtain the following result:

$$\int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \frac{n_a^2(t) + n_b^2(t)}{2} dt \approx \frac{1}{2W} \frac{n_a^2(\frac{i}{2W}) + n_b^2(\frac{i}{2W})}{2}$$
(15)

$$E\left\{ \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \frac{n_a^2(t) + n_b^2(t)}{2} dt \right\} \approx E\left\{ \frac{1}{2W} \frac{n_a^2(\frac{i}{2W}) + n_b^2(\frac{i}{2W})}{2} \right\}$$
(16)

$$= \frac{1}{2W} E \left\{ \frac{n_a^2(\frac{i}{2W}) + n_b^2(\frac{i}{2W})}{2} \right\}$$
 (17)

$$= \frac{1}{4W} \left( \operatorname{E} \left\{ n_a^2(\frac{i}{2W}) \right\} + \operatorname{E} \left\{ n_b^2(\frac{i}{2W}) \right\} \right) \tag{18}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4W} 2\sigma^2 = 2N_0 W \frac{1}{4W} = \frac{N_0}{2} \tag{19}$$

That this relation holds was shown experimentally in testnoise.m, whereas I would like to present more accurate results at a later time.

#### 1.3 Noise and pulses

Combining the results from the previous two subsection, we can say that eventually we can expect that if

$$f(t) = \Re \left\{ (g(t) + n(t)) e^{j\omega_c t} \right\} = (g_a(t) + n_a(t)) \cos \omega_c t - (g_b(t) + n_b(t)) \sin \omega_c t$$
 (20)

we can compute the ED output  $y_i$  to:

$$y_i = \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} f^2(t)dt \approx \frac{1}{2} \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} (g_a(t) + n_a(t))^2 + (g_b(t) + n_b(t))^2 dt$$
 (21)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} |g(t)|^2 + 2g_a(t)n_a(t) + 2g_b(t)n_b(t) + n_a^2(t) + n_b^2(t)dt$$
 (22)

If we can assume that the noise signal is independent from the complex equivalent baseband CIR, we can compute the expected ED output:

$$E\{y_i\} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} |g(t)|^2 + \underbrace{E\{2g_a(t)n_a(t) + 2g_b(t)n_b(t)\}}_{0} + E\{n_a^2(t) + n_b^2(t)\} dt$$
 (23)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} |g(t)|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} \mathrm{E}\left\{n_a^2(t) + n_b^2(t)\right\} dt$$
 (24)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} |g(t)|^2 dt + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{iT_s - \frac{T_i}{2}}^{iT_s + \frac{T_i}{2}} n_a^2(t) + n_b^2(t)\right\} dt}_{N_0}$$
(25)

$$= \frac{T}{1+\beta} \frac{|g(iT_s)|^2}{2} + \frac{N_0}{2} \tag{26}$$

## References

[1] H. Urkowitz, "Energy detection of unknown deterministic signals," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 523–531, April 1967.

Channel	$T_i = 1 \text{ ns}$	$T_i = 2 \text{ ns}$	$T_i = 4 \text{ ns}$
0	-8.8679	-10.8324	-14.4363
1	-17.5851	-19.4822	-22.8703
2	-18.0563	-20.0375	-23.3192
3	-18.4409	-20.4495	-23.5822
4	-11.7113	-15.0096	-19.0712
5	-19.2925	-21.2875	-24.4124
6	-19.3739	-21.3941	-24.5375
7	-15.3554	-18.5553	-21.0280
8	-19.4163	-21.4675	-24.7160
9	-19.4189	-21.4937	-24.8704
10	-19.3846	-21.4178	-24.8242
11	-14.9386	-17.8392	-21.8113
12	-19.3001	-21.2549	-24.7425
13	-19.1953	-21.0878	-24.5631
14	-19.0437	-20.9195	-24.3747
15	-15.6152	-18.5142	-22.4674

Table 1: Approximation of f(t) by |g(t)| - Error  $Q_2$  in dB